

## DCUSA DCP 439 Change Declaration 2

Voting end date: 5pm, 8 August 2025

DCP 439	WEIGHTED VOTING				
	DNO	IDNO	SUPPLIER	CVA REGISTRANT	GAS SUPPLIER
CHANGE SOLUTION	Accept	Accept	Reject	n/a	n/a
IMPLEMENTATION DATE	Accept	Accept	Reject	n/a	n/a
RECOMMENDATION	<p><b>Change Solution – Accept.</b></p> <p>In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the change solution was more than 50% in all Categories.</p> <p><b>Implementation Date – Accept.</b></p> <p>In respect of each Party Category that was eligible to vote, the sum of the Weighted Votes of the Groups in that Party Category which voted to accept the implementation date was more than 50% in all Categories.</p>				
PART ONE / PART TWO	<b>Part One</b> – Authority Determination Required				

PARTY	SOLUTION (A / R)	IMPLEMENTATION DATE (A / R)	WHICH DCUSA OBJECTIVE(S) IS BETTER FACILITATED?	COMMENTS
DNO PARTIES				
Northern Powergrid (Northeast) plc	Reject	Reject	We do not believe this change better facilitates the charging objectives. We believe this proposal would be negative against charging Objective 3 as we would be knowingly billing the customer incorrectly for a longer period of time, therefore we are not reflecting the costs incurred, or reasonably expected to be incurred, by the DNO Party in its Distribution Business.	We are of the understanding that when the new billing system is in place, and under MHHS, it will still be possible to backdate customer refunds. Hence. we cannot support this change given the potential for the negative impact it could have on the customer. The nature of these refunds means they are usually due to DNO, or Suppliers, fault rather than any fault on the customer's part.
Northern Powergrid (Yorkshire) plc	Reject	Reject		
Eastern Power Networks Plc	Accept	Accept	We believe that DCUSA Charging Objective 6 is better facilitated by this change as it will incentivise parties to capture and correct errors in a timely manner, which improves the efficiency in the implementation of the methodology.	<p>Approx. 29.8million NHH/Aggregated settled customers are only backdated as far as the settlement timetable allows.</p> <p>Therefore, only approx. 200k HH/Site Specific customers have the potential to be backdated for longer periods, which already creates an unnecessary inconsistency between these two groups of customers</p> <p>Applying backdated changes beyond the new 4month RF period, during the last 12 months, has resulted in 69 customers having reduced charges and 73 having increased charges, according to the data from the RFI.</p> <p>The customer impact of this change is therefore negligible – 73 out of nearly 30million.</p> <p>At present data items are only able to be backdated to RF in the registrations systems (making LLFC changes inconsistent and requiring off-system adjustment) and the industry has further adopted a fix-forward approach for MHHS.</p> <p>We believe that with the introduction of MHHS and the reduction to the Settlement Periods, this change is vital to ensure that that data remains aligned and consistent across different industry systems.</p>
London Power Networks Plc	Accept	Accept		
South Eastern Power Networks	Accept	Accept		

National Grid Electricity Distribution South West	Accept	Accept	3 – billing & pass-through charges will be more accurate and will align with the aggregated/NHHSC market	None
National Grid Electricity Distribution South Wales	Accept	Accept		
National Grid Electricity Distribution East Midlands	Accept	Accept		
National Grid Electricity Distribution West Midlands	Accept	Accept		
SP Distribution plc	Accept	Accept	We believe that DCUSA objective 6 is better facilitated by this change.	None
SP Manweb plc	Accept	Accept		
Southern Electric Power Distribution plc	Reject	Reject	We don't think this change further enhances the delivery of any DCUSA objectives.	None
Scottish Hydro Electric Power Distribution plc	Reject	Reject		
Electricity North West Limited	Reject	Accept	not applicable	voting reasons as per first round - no change

IDNO PARTIES				
UK Power Distribution	Accept	Accept	6 – for the many reasons stated in the Change report.	None

INDIGO POWER LIMITED	Accept	Accept	6	N/A
<b>SUPPLIER PARTIES</b>				
ENGIE Power Limited	Reject	Reject	We do not believe any DCUSA objectives are facilitated by this change.	Please refer to our response to the consultation. The work done after the Ofgem send-back decision does not alter our view that this change should not be made.
Brook Green Supply	Reject	Reject	None	We agree that backdating tariff corrections for up to six years is no longer appropriate. However, we believe that a four-month timeframe in the post-MHHS environment is too restrictive. Instead, we propose a more balanced approach, suggesting a backdating of at least 12 months.
<b>CVA REGISTRANT PARTIES</b>				
Not Eligible				
<b>GAS SUPPLIER PARTIES</b>				
Not Eligible				